

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/522,618	KAMEYAMA ET AL	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	NATHAN H. EMPIE	1712	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) NATHAN H. EMPIE

(2) Nicolas Seckel

**Date of Interview:** 4 June 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

  

**Claims discussed:**

1, 33, and 35

  

**Prior art documents discussed:**

  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed proposed Examiner's Amendment with regard to amending a range in claim 1 from "0.6 to 12" to "2 to 11" and cancelling claims 33 and 35 as portions of recited ranges would reside outside of this amended claimed range..*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

/Nathan H Empie/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1712

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 3:00 PM

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)